

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,798	01/10/2002	Michael Tombs	211/New	8385
7:	590 04/20/2004	EXAMINER		
Jeffrey M. Kaden			LAMB, BRENDA A	
GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C. COUNSELORS AT LAW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
270 MADISON	AVENUE	1734		
NEW YORK, NY 10016-0601			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/044798 Examiner / LAMB	Applicant(s) Tombs et al Group Art Unit 1734			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—			
Period for Reply	5				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to ing date of this communication.	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.Ć. § 133). ation, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent			
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111020	=3 and 4,	14/2003 and 11/13/2003			
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, pro C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to the merits is closed in			
Disposition of Claims					
© Claim(s) 8-15					
Of the above claim(s)					
Claim(s) 8-10/12 and 14	is/are allowed.				
12 Claim(s) 11, 13 and 15	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement				
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	·			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		Li disappiored.			
	ted to by the Externine				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	n)—(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been n	• •	NO			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document		2/2))			
in this national stage application from the Internationa		:			
*Certified copies not received:	1 1				
Attachment(s)	alled boom				
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s).4/04/200-01	Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 🗆 (Other			
Office Action Summary					



Application/Control Number: 10/044,798

Art Unit: 1734

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 10-70360.

Japan '360 teaches the design of a dip coating apparatus comprised of a nozzle 4 having an outlet through which solder is flowed wherein the nozzle includes a member 6 provided at the nozzle outlet and having a surface wetted by the solder. Japan '360 teaches every element of the apparatus set forth in claim 8. With respect to claims 9



Application/Control Number: 10/044,798

projecting through the solder surface.

Art Unit: 1734

and 14, Japan '360 teaches the elongate plate 6 is positioned in the reservoir 3. The Japan '360 elongate plate 6 has an upwardly facing edge and side surfaces extending downwardly. The Japan '360 plate is positioned so as to be capable of applying solder to adjacent components which pass on each side of the plate edge. With respect to claim 10, Japan '360 shows the member is positioned below the level of the solder (see Figure 5(a) through 5(c)). With respect to claim 12, Japan '360 member 6 is capable of

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, now canceled have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 11 and 13,15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda Lamb at telephone number 571-272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Tuesday and Thursday thru Friday with alternate Wednesdays off.

B. Lamb/af

April 02, 2004

BRENDA A. LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER

adel Lang